

George Lardner, newsroom
The Washington Post
1150 15 St., NW
Washington, DC 20071

6/25/93

Dear George,

When we talked about your Guinn story yesterday afternoon we never got onto the same wavelength. Let me see if I can clarify this with one quotation from him as you quoted him (copy enclosed):

"The pieces brought out by the Archives did not include any of the specific pieces the FBI analyzed (he testified). Where they are, I have no idea."

There was but a single set of fragments removed from Connally in the official evidence. That is the "set" the FBI supposedly ~~was~~ "analyzed."

Of that one set, the only set in the official evidence, Guinn testified that what he was given to test "did not include" any of them, Connally or other.

Making it further clear that he testified he was given other than the only set of recovered fragments, he said of them, the only official fragments, "Where they are, I have no idea."

The FBI did not dispute this in any way of which I know.

It therefore did not deny that what Guinn was given to test was not fragments recovered from Connally's body.

The only evidence is that what Guinn was given to testify is not the authentic and only fragments recovered from Connally's wrist, at least in the possession of the government.

I do not see how anyone can not believe that he was given something that was not recovered from Connally's wrist. Whatever its origin.

On another matter, I hope that in the near future you can come up again, as you said you'd like to ⁱⁿ do your card of several weeks ago. I would like to discuss with you some serious problems I am having with my new book and what I have that I think causes it. If at some point that does not interest you for a story, perhaps you can suggest where I might get one published.

Best,

